Selective CO methanation in the reformate gas over Ni-, Co- and Fe/CeO₂ catalysts: tuning catalyst activity and selectivity

Margarita V. Konishcheva^{a,b,c,*}, D.I. Potemkin^{a,b,c,}, P.V. Snytnikov^{a,b,c,}, V.A. Sobyanin^c

^a EFCOM LLC, Moscow, 143026, Russia

^b Novosibirsk State University, Novosibirsk, 630090, Russia

^cBoreskov Institute of Catalysis SB RAS, Novosibirsk, 630090, Russia

*Corresponding author: konischeva@catalysis.ru

Abstract: Selective CO methanation (CO-SMET) in the reformate gas, containing (vol.%): 1.0 CO, 65 H₂, 10 H₂O, 20 CO₂ with He as balance, was investigated over Ni-, Co- and Fe/CeO₂ catalysts with various halogen additives. The catalytic activity of ceria-based catalysts in CO-SMET strongly depend on the nature of the metal and the selectivity is regulated by the addition of halogens. Among the catalysts studied, the Ni/CeO₂ catalyst with chlorine addition showed the good performance and was the most selective. This phenomenon could be explained by ceria surface blocking by chlorine species and appropriate inhibition of CO₂ hydrogenation activity.

Keywords: Selective or Preferential CO methanation, CO cleanup, Nickel ceria catalysts.

1. Introduction

The process of selective CO methanation in reformate gas is a promising way for deep CO removal designed for low-temperature proton-exchanged membrane fuel cell feeding applications, as well as a challenging fundamental problem of substrate-selective hydrogenation. In addition to the target CO methanation reaction (1), undesirable CO_2 methanation (2) and reverse water-gas shift (3) reactions may occur, causing considerable hydrogen losses and increasing CO outlet concentration:

$CO+3H_2 = CH_4 + H_2O; \Delta H^o = -206 \text{ kJ/mol}$	(1)
$CO_2 + 4H_2 = CH_4 + 2H_2O; \Delta H^o = -165 \text{ kJ/mol}$	(2)
$CO_2 + H_2 = CO + H_2O; \Delta H^o = 41 \text{ kJ/mol}$	(3)

This work reports our summarizing results on the development of an effective ceria-based catalyst for CO-SMET¹⁻⁴.

2. Experimental

To investigate the effect of nature of metals were obtained Ni-, Co- and Fe/CeO₂ catalysts. Catalysts with metal loading of 10 wt.% were prepared by incipient wetness impregnation of CeO₂ by the water solutions of metal's nitrate and chloride salts. The effect of halogen additives was studied on Ni/CeO₂ catalyst prepared from a nitrate precursor. Halogen-promoted Ni(F*)/CeO₂, Ni(Cl*)/CeO₂ and Ni(Br*)/CeO₂ catalysts were prepared by treatment of Ni/CeO₂ by the aqueous solutions of NH₄F, NH₄Cl and NH₄Br, respectively. The Ni/CeO₂(Cl*) catalyst was prepared by impregnating the Cl–containg CeO₂ (CeO₂(Cl*)) with an aqueous solution of Ni (II) nitrate. The CeO₂(Cl*) was prepared by impregnating CeO₂ with an aqueous solution of ammonium chloride. All catalysts were characterized by BET, XRD, HAADF-STEM, EDX-mapping, XPS and CO chemisorption techniques. The reaction kinetics was studied in a flow reactor at atmospheric pressure in the temperature interval 180 – 360 °C, at WHSV = 29 L·g⁻¹·h⁻¹ and feed gas composition (vol.%): 1.0 CO, 65 H₂, 10 H₂O, 20 CO₂ with He as balance. The nature of adsorbed species was studied by the FTIR in situ technique.

3. Results and discussion

Fe-based and Co(Cl)/CeO₂ catalysts were inactive in CO and CO₂ methanation reactions. Ni/CeO₂ and Co/CeO₂ catalysts were active in both CO and CO₂ methanation, but showed low selectivity. Ni(Cl)/CeO₂

catalyst showed the best performance in selective CO methanation, being less active than Ni/CeO_2 and Co/CeO_2 , but considerably more selective¹.

The additives of fluorine reduce the depth of CO purification and have a little effect on the activity and selectivity of Ni/CeO₂ catalyst; the chlorine additives inhibit the CO₂ methanation, that provides high selectivity towards CO methanation in the presence of CO₂; the bromine additives totally inhibit both CO and CO₂ methanation activity³.

Fig. 1 represents the temperature dependencies of the CO outlet concentration and CO selectivity in CO-SMET for all Ni/CeO₂ catalysts. It is seen, that Ni/CeO₂ was active, but unselective. The minimal CO outlet concentration over the Ni/CeO₂ was 130 ppm at 250 °C.



Figure 1. The temperature dependencies of the CO outlet concentration and CO selectivity for CO-SMET over the studied catalysts. Feed gas composition (vol. %): 1.0 CO, 65 H₂, 10 H₂O, 20 CO₂ with He as balance. WHSV: 29 $\text{L·g}^{-1} \cdot \text{h}^{-1}$.

All Cl-containing Ni/CeO₂ catalysts showed much higher CO cleanup efficiency and provided CO removal from reformate gas to the level of ≤ 10 ppm with high selectivity.

XRD, HAADF-STEM and EDX-mapping analysis showed the presence of chlorine on the surface of all Cl-containing Ni/CeO₂ catalysts. The kinetic and FTIR in situ study showed that for all catalysts the CO methanation reaction proceeds similarly over the Ni surface via CO and H₂ chemisorption. The CO₂ methanation reaction over Ni/CeO₂ proceeds via CO₂ adsorption over ceria surface and stepwise hydrogenation to hydrocarbonates and formates by the hydrogen spilled over from Ni. While for all Cl-containing catalysts this reaction pathway is locked by chlorine, providing inactivity in CO₂ methanation and therefore high efficiency in CO-SMET^{2,4}.

4. Conclusions

Only Cl–containing Ni/CeO₂ catalysts can be considered as effective catalysts for the CO-SMET. All Cl–containing Ni/CeO₂ catalysts no matter by which method they were doped with chlorine, showed sufficient performance in CO-SMET. The chlorine doping effect was attributed to the blockage of ceria surface by CeOCl species that inhibited ceria-assisted CO₂ activation and hydrogenation.

This work is conducted within the framework of budget project No. 0303-2016-0011 for Boreskov Institute of Catalysis.

References

- M.V. Konishcheva, D.I. Potemkin, P.V. Snytnikov, M.M. Zyryanova, V.P. Pakharukova, P.A. Simonov, V.A. Soobyanin, , Int. J. Hydrogen Energy, 40 (2015), p. 14058.
- M.V. Konishcheva, D.I. Potemkin, S.D. Badmaev, P.V. Snytnikov, E.A. Paukshtis, V.A. Sobyanin, V.N. Parmon, Top. Catal., 59 (2016), p. 1424.
- 3. M. V. Konishcheva, D. I. Potemkin, P. V. Snytnikov, V. P. Pakharukova, V. A. Sobyanin, Energy Technol. 5 (2017), p.1522.
- M.V.Konishcheva, D.I.Potemkin, P.V.Snytnikov, O.A.Stonkus, V.D.Belyaev, V.A.Sobyanin, Appl. Catal. A, 221 (2018), p. 413.