# Light olefins synthesis from syngas over Sulfide-Zeolite bifunctional catalyst

### Haibo Zhou, Su Liu, Yangdong Wang \*

State Key Laboratory of Green Chemical Engineering and Industrial Catalysis, SINOPEC Shanghai Research Institute of Petrochemical Technology, Shanghai, 201208, China

## Abstract:

Bifunctional catalysts consisting of metal oxide and zeolite have been reported to perform excellent light olefins selectivity from syngas and attract much attention. We demonstrate here that a new sulfide  $(MoS_2)$  – zeolite (SAPO-34) bifunctional catalyst which could catalyze the production of light olefins. The side reaction of methanation and hydrogenation of olefins to paraffins are suppressed by the introduction of potassium. Characterization results show that the introduction of potassium could enhance the formation of K–Mo–S structure, at which the alcohol precursor formed. The optimized bifunctional catalyst performs 61.2% C<sub>2</sub>-C<sub>4</sub> olefins selectivity, beyond the limitation of Anderson-Shultz-Flory distribution.

Keywords: synthesis gas, light olefins, bifunctional catalyst.

#### 1. Introduction

The development of the global economy leads to a rising demand for light olefins, which are the basic chemical raw materials. Recently, a series of bifunctional catalysts consisting of metal oxide and zeolite have been reported to perform excellent light olefins selectivity from syngas and attract much attention [1, 2]. Many studies have revealed that the challenge of selective conversion of syngas to light olefins lies in the suppression of the methane formation and hydrogenation of olefins [3].

In this article, a new sulfide  $(MoS_2)$  – zeolite (SAPO-34) bifunctional catalyst was studied for syngas conversion to light olefins. It was found that, the side reaction of methanation and hydrogenation of olefins to paraffins was suppressed by the introduction of potassium to MoS<sub>2</sub>. Characterization results showed that the introduction of potassium could enhance the formation of K–Mo–S structure, at which the alcohol precursor formed. The optimized bifunctional catalyst MoS<sub>2</sub>-0.6K+SAPO-34 performed 12.5% CO conversion and 61.2% C<sub>2</sub>-C<sub>4</sub> olefins selectivity.

#### 2. Experimental

The unsupported MoS<sub>2</sub>-based catalysts were prepared by co-precipitation method [4]. Briefly, aqueous solutions of acetic acid (CH<sub>3</sub>COOH) and ammonium tetrathiomolybdate ((NH<sub>4</sub>)<sub>2</sub>MoS<sub>4</sub>) were simultaneously added to a container under continuous stirring. Hydrogen ion reacted immediately with the thiomolybdate and a black suspension was formed. The precipitate was aged at 70 °C for 2 h, and then filtered. The filtered cake (precipitate) was repeatedly rinsed with ethanol. The solid was dried at 100 °C for 12 h, followed by mixing with K<sub>2</sub>CO<sub>3</sub> to thermal decomposition at 500 °C under N<sub>2</sub> for 2 hour to obtain unsupported MoS<sub>2</sub> catalysts. The MoS<sub>2</sub> catalysts promoted by potassium are denoted as MoS<sub>2</sub>-xK (x= 0, 0.2, 0.4, 0.6, 0.8, 1.0), where x is the molar ratio of K/Mo.

#### 3. Results and discussion

To expand the application bifunctional catalysts and search appropriate CO activation sites with strong CO conversion capacity and weak hydrogenation ability of olefins, the performance of several representative alcohol synthesis catalysts coupling with SAPO-34 are investigated and compared in Table 1.

 $LiFeMnRh/SiO_2+SAPO-34$  showed high  $CH_4$  selectivity of 74.0%. While, the  $C_2-C_4$  paraffins became the to the mainly products over CuZnAl+SAPO-34 and CoMoS-0.6K/AC+SAPO-34 bifunctional catalysts.

 $MoS_2$ -0.6K with weaker hydrogenation ability might prevent the olefin intermediate products from further hydrogenation, so high C<sub>2</sub>-C<sub>4</sub> olefins selectivity could be achieved over the Sulfide-Zeolite system.

	СО	O Organic product selectivity / % (CO <sub>2</sub> free)						
Catalysts	conversion / %	$CH_4$	C <sub>2</sub> -C <sub>4</sub> olefins	$C_2$ - $C_4$ paraffins	C <sub>5+</sub> hydrocarbons	Oxygen ates	olefin/paraffin	
LiFeMnRh/SiO <sub>2</sub> + SAPO-34 <sup>b</sup>	21.7	74.0	1.4	22.7	0.3	1.6	0.06	
CoMoS-0.6K/AC + SAPO-34 <sup>b</sup>	3.4	8.6	5.5	75.8	3.6	6.5	0.07	
CuZnAl+ SAPO-34 <sup>b</sup>	37.9	3.6	1.6	90.8	4.0	0	0.02	
MoS <sub>2</sub> -0.6K+SAPO-34 <sup>b</sup>	19.7	14.6	58.9	22.5	3.7	0.3	2.62	

Table 1. Catalytic test results over LiFeMnRh/SiO<sub>2</sub>, CoMoS-0.6K, CoMoS-0.6K/AC, CuZnAl with and without SAPO-34 <sup>a</sup>

<sup>a</sup> Reaction conditions: p = 4.0 MPa, GHSV = 4000 h<sup>-1</sup>, T = 400 °C, n(H<sub>2</sub>)/n(CO)= 1.

<sup>b</sup> Mass ratio of alcohol catalysts: SAPO-34 = 1:1.

Table 2 compared the catalytic performance of the K modified  $MoS_2+SAPO-34$  bifunctional catalysts.  $MoS_2+SAPO-34$  provided 70.4% CH<sub>4</sub> with a high CO conversion, but seldom C<sub>2</sub>-C<sub>4</sub> olefin was formed. Modification of the  $MoS_2$  with  $K_2CO_3$  yielded more than 80% C<sub>2</sub>-C<sub>4</sub> hydrocarbons and reduced the methane selectivity. It is notable the C<sub>2</sub>-C<sub>4</sub> olefin/paraffin ratio increased with the mount of K increased.

Our results suggested that the CO hydrogenation sites significantly affected the product distribution in the subsequent conversion of  $C_1$  intermediate.  $C_1$  intermediate would easily be reduced to  $CH_4$  over  $MoS_2$ [5], which exhibited highest hydrogenation activity, rather than desorbed and migrated to the coupling active sites. K was found to be effective additive to weaken the hydrogenation ability of  $MoS_2$  [5, 6]. With the introduction of K, CO conversion and  $CH_4$  selectivity decreased, while more  $C_1$  intermediate was able to migrate to the coupling active sites, resulting the increase of the  $C_{2+}$  product selectivity. The hydrogenation of olefins to paraffins could lead to the consumption of olefins. By weakening the hydrogenation ability, the introduction of K could suppress the side reaction, and enhance the  $C_2$ - $C_4$  olefin/paraffin ratio. So, a balance of hydrogenation and coupling functions associated with K and  $MoS_2$  was required to produce an optimum yield of  $C_2$ - $C_4$  olefins and to minimize the paraffins formation. And, 60% K introduction could significantly enhance the production of light olefins from syngas.

	CO						
Catalysts	conversion / %	$CH_4$	C <sub>2</sub> -C <sub>4</sub> olefins	$C_2$ - $C_4$ paraffins	$C_{5+}$ hydrocarbons	Oxygenates	olefin/paraffin
$MoS_2 + SAPO-34$	75.4	70.4	-	29.5	0.1	0	0
MoS <sub>2</sub> -0.2K+SAPO-34	39.0	18.9	35.2	40.2	4.9	0.8	0.88
MoS <sub>2</sub> -0.4K+SAPO-34	25.9	13.7	48.3	33.3	4.3	0.4	1.45
MoS <sub>2</sub> -0.6K+SAPO-34	19.7	14.6	58.9	22.5	3.7	0.3	2.62
MoS <sub>2</sub> -0.8K+SAPO-34	16.9	16.1	56.8	22.5	4.0	0.6	2.52
MoS <sub>2</sub> -1.0K+SAPO-34	15.9	12.3	51.2	30.9	5.4	0.2	1.66
MoS <sub>2</sub> -0.8K+SAPO-34 MoS <sub>2</sub> -1.0K+SAPO-34	16.9 15.9	16.1 12.3	56.8 51.2	22.5 30.9	4.0 5.4	0.6 0.2	2.52 1.66

Table 2. Catalytic test results over MoS<sub>2</sub>-xK+SAPO-34 bifunctional catalysts with different K loadings <sup>a</sup>

<sup>a</sup> Reaction conditions:  $m(MoS_2-xK):m(SAPO-34) = 1:1, p = 4.0 \text{ MPa}, \text{GHSV} = 4000 \text{ h}^{-1}, T = 400 \text{ °C}, n(H_2)/n(CO) = 1.$ 

### 4. Conclusions

Light olefins synthesis from syngas over the Sulfide-Zeolite bifunctional catalyst has been investigated in this work. By the introduction of potassium, the hydrogenation ability of  $MoS_2$  catalyst was weakened, the side reaction of methanation and hydrogenation of olefins to paraffins was suppressed. The optimized bifunctional catalyst  $MoS_2$ -0.6K+SAPO-34, which performed 19.7% CO conversion and 58.9%  $C_2$ - $C_4$  olefins selectivity, could significantly enhance the production of light olefins from syngas. Due to the resistance to sulfur poisoning and high olefin/paraffin ratio, the Sulfide-Zeolite bifunctional catalyst showed potential application prospect in the light olefins synthesis.

#### References

- F. Jiao, J. Li, X. Pan, J. Xiao, H. Li, H. Ma, M. Wei, Y. Pan, Z. Zhou, M. Li, S. Miao, J. Li, Y. Zhu, D. Xiao, T. He, J. Yang, F. Qi, Q. Fu, and X. Bao, Science 351 (2016) 1065.
- 2. K. Cheng, B. Gu, X. Liu, J. Kang, Q. Zhang, and Y. Wang, Angewandte Chemie International Edition 55 (2016) 4725.
- 3. Y. Zhu, X. Pan, F. Jiao, J. Li, J. Yang, M. Ding, Y. Han, Z. Liu, and X. Bao, ACS Catalysis 7 (2017) 2800.
- 4. Y. Yang, Y. Wang, S. Liu, Q. Song, Z. Xie, and Z. Gao, Catalysis Letters 127 (2009) 448.
- 5. K.T. Park, and J. Kong, Topics in Catalysis 18 (2002) 175.
- 6. K. Fang, D. Li, M. Lin, M. Xiang, W. Wei, and Y. Sun, Catalysis Today 147 (2009) 133-138.